UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

RECEIVED OF MINNESOTA

BY MAIL

Paul Hansmeier,

JAN 0 3 2023

Pethoner, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MINNEAPOLIS MINNESOTA

Case No.: 22-cv-2590 (PJS/JFD)

Michel Le Jeune,

Respondent,

RESPONSE TO THE COURTS NOVEMBER 30, 2022 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Petitioner Paul Hansmover respectfully submits this response to the Court's November 30, 2022 order to show cause. Okt. 4. The order directs Hansmeier to: (1) explain why he believes that he need not use the BOP's administrative temedy process before pursuing haboas relief here, and (2) include copies of whatever grevances or appeals he has filed as well as copies of any responses he has received. Okt. 4 at 3.

I. Background,

In this habeas petition, Hansinger alleges that the BOP (Bureau of Prisons) has failed to award him all of the First Step Act time credits (FTC's) he has carned. Throughout his coreer in the BUP, thousander has been eligible to earn 15 FTCs/month. and he has done everything required to earn the credits. Thus, Hansmair should have received 15 FTCs/month since July 2019 to the present day. The BOP has not awarded Hansmeier this number of PTCs. Instead, the BOP disallowed Hansmoier from earning credits during a period of time when Hansmeter had not completed a survey that, in fact, was not administered

to him. The BOP also disallowed Hansmeier from earning FTCs when Hansmeier was subject to administrative detention. The survey and administrative detention issues resulted in Hansmeier being shortchanged approximately 7 months worth of FTC. This shortchanging would result in Hansmeier's "in prison" being lengthened by this amount.

For the Court's information, the BOP recently published a momorandum in which it indicated that it may be addressing the issues giving rise to this 2241 petition. The momorandum indicates that these changes may be occurring as early as January 2023. If the BOP follows through and addresses the issues in Hansmar's 2241 petition, then this matter will become most.

II. Discussion.

With the foregoing background in mind, Hansmeier turns to the Court's order to show cause.

A. Explain why Hansmain believes that he need not use the BOP's administrative remady process.

Hansmain Completing the administrative remody process by obtaining a BP-8 from his counselor, completing it and handing it back. If the BP-8 is unsuccessful, then Hansmain obtains a BP-9 from his counselor. If the BP-9 is unsuccessful, then Hansmain obtains a BP-10 from his counselor. If the BP-10 is unsuccessful, then Hansmain obtains a BP-11 from his counselor. Hansmain attempted to use the BOP's administrative remody prozess to address the PTC issue; Hansmain sent his counselor an e-mail requesting a time to stop by to pick up a BP-8. His counselor responded, stating that he was awaiting information from his supervisor about how the institution was going to handle FTC issues. Hansmain has still not heard back

about it. He is familiar with other inmates' circumstances. They have been told that the institution couldn't handle FTC issues locally.

Hansmin's response to the Courts first point is that there is no available "administrative remady prozess at his institution regarding FTC issues. Now that Hansmari has made this allegation, the burden shall to the government to prove that there were administrative remades "available" to Hansmeir.

B. Include copies of greeness or appeals as well as responses.

Hansmoier sont his counselor requesting a Form BP-8. He was not provided one. Hansmoier cannot obtain Forms BP-9-11 without receiving a BP-8 response.

III. Conclusion.

Hansmeier suggests that the Court defer its ruling on the exhaustion issue and order Hansmeier's expectation is this matter will be most by them.

Dated: December 28, 2022

Paul Hansmera

20953-041 Unit F

Federal Corrections Institution

P.O. Box 1800

Sandstone, MN 55072